

# Citizen's Constituency Development Fund Report Card for Mwingi South Constituency Kitui County



This publication was made possible through support provided by the Department for International Development (DfID) through the Governance and Transparency Fund (GTF).

The findings expressed herein are those of the NTA and do not necessarily reflect the views of our partners.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>1.0</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2.0</b>	<b>Executive Summary</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2.1</b>	<b>About CDF</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2.2</b>	<b>About this Citizen Report Card</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>2.3</b>	<b>Summary Findings</b>	<b>4</b>
	<b>Table 1: CDF Allocations to Mwingi South Constituency (FY) 2003/04 - 2009/10</b>	<b>4</b>
	<b>Table 2: Summary of Findings from NTA Audit of CDF Projects (FY)2009-10</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>2.4</b>	<b>Recommendations for Hon. David Musila and Mwingi South CDFC</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>2.5</b>	<b>Recommendations for the CDF Board</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>3.0</b>	<b>NTA Research Methodology</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>4.0</b>	<b>Perception Survey for CDF projects monitored in Mwingi South Constituency</b>	<b>9</b>
	<b>Annex 1: Project Classification Summary Tables, Project Profiles and digital photographs for all CDF projects visited and assessed in the Financial Year (FY) 2009-10</b>	
	<b>Category A projects</b>	<b>13 - 27</b>
	<b>Category B projects</b>	<b>28 - 32</b>
	<b>Category C projects</b>	<b>33 - 43</b>
	<b>Category G projects</b>	<b>44</b>
	<b>Annex 2: CDF Project Rapid Assessment Form</b>	<b>45</b>
	<b>Annex 3: CDF Project Users' Questionnaire</b>	<b>48</b>
	<b>Annex 4: Technical Project Assessment Form</b>	<b>50</b>
	<b>Annex 5: Correspondence with the Mwingi South MP and CDFC</b>	<b>51</b>
	<b>Annex 6 to 9: Supporting documents</b>	<b>56 - 59</b>
	<b>Annex 10: List of MP's / CDFC's that met or sent comments on the draft CDF CRC</b>	<b>60</b>

## 1.0 Introduction

- The NTA is a national, independent, non-partisan organization focused on promoting good governance in Kenya.
- Since 2006, the NTA has been implementing programmes focused on citizen demand for accountability through monitoring of the quality of public service delivery and the management of devolved funds. It has achieved this through the development of social accountability tools (Citizen Report Cards), civic awareness, citizen capacity-building, partnerships with government agencies, service providers, private sector, civil society and community action groups.
- NTA is fully independent of government; however, it is committed to working with the government to improve service delivery and the management of devolved funds.
- **Our Vision:** An accountable, citizen-responsive government delivering quality services to all.
- **Our Mission:** To promote accountable, effective and efficient collection and utilization of public resources through citizen empowerment, enhancing public service delivery and partnership building.
- NTA has a governing council of 12 prominent civil society and religious organizations and eight active regional coordination offices covering the entire country that effectively support and enable operations at regional and constituency level.
- NTA employs professional experienced staff with minimum university degree in the relevant field of operation. The team has enabled NTA to establish itself as an authority in field of governance and advocacy for transparency and accountability.
- NTA has established constituency level structures where activities of the organization are shaped, managed and implemented by citizens through the Constituency Monitoring Committees (CMCs). This has significantly improved NTA reach and enhanced demand for accountability at grassroots level.
- NTA has established a call center in Western region in partnership with Provincial Commissioner, Western Province to improve the quality of government services for all citizens living in western province.
- The NTA is also conducting Sector Public Expenditure Reviews to identify areas where services can be improved to benefit all Kenyans.
- NTA has conducted research and provided information to Kenyans through its Citizen Report Cards (CRCs), scoping studies, public forums and civic education through the media to present issues of how devolved funds are being used and their impact on development in constituencies in a user-friendly, simple, and accessible manner.
- NTA has produced Constituency Development Fund (CDF) Citizens Report Cards (CRCs) for 121 constituencies and Local Authority Transfer Fund (LATF) CRCs in 21 local authorities.
- Baseline Scoping studies and report cards have also been produced for key public services like Health, Education, Registration Services, Rural Roads, Post Budget analysis and Security to enhance demand for accountability and potential for public action.
- NTA is implementing a public primary school report card nationwide in partnership with the Ministry of Education that seeks to bring parental involvement back to the management of public primary schools.

## 2.0 Executive Summary

### 2.1 About CDF

CDF was established through the Constituencies Development Fund Act, 2003 as amended in 2007 with the goal of fighting poverty at the grassroots level. To achieve this goal the CDF ensures that constituencies receive 2.5% of the Government annual ordinary revenue, besides monies to be received from other sources by the CDF Board. The CDF fund was first distributed equally among the 210 constituencies but since 2004 the central government has committed to use an allocation formula to distribute the development funds such that the government may not renege its obligation as happened in previous decentralization programs.

This formula also aims to provide a fairly uniform fund to each constituency, but some allowance is made for poverty levels, such that the poorest constituencies receive slightly more resources. According to the CDF Act this formula estimates that 75% of the net available fund is distributed equally among all 210 constituencies, whilst 25% of the net available fund is distributed according to a weighted value of the constituency's contribution to national poverty. The weighting factor applied to the constituency contribution to poverty is the ratio of urban-rural poor population derived from the 1999 population and housing census. This weight favors rural areas by a factor of 0.23 to urban areas. The net available CDF fund is the total CDF allocation after netting out 3% for an administrative budget and 5% for a so called constituency emergency budget.

In total, the government allocated 19 USD million to the CDF fund for the financial year 2003/4 followed by 83 USD million in 2004/5, 107 USD million in 2005/6, 148 USD million on the year previous to election that is 2006/7, 149 USD million to the newly elected parliament in the 2007/8, 166 USD million in 2010/2011 and proposed 284 USD million in financial year 2011/2012 that incorporates about 210 USD thousand per constituency to complete stalled/ongoing projects. CDF was a noble idea whose effects have been felt at the grassroots level in every corner of Kenya but has been be deviled by myriad problems largely due to weak legislation, institutional and oversight mechanisms coupled with lack of information by citizens.

## 2.2 About this Citizen Report Card

- This Citizen's Report Card (CRC) has been researched and published by the National Taxpayers Association (NTA) to sensitize citizens, elected representatives, government officials and civil society organizations on the management of the Constituency Development Fund (CDF). CRCs are participatory surveys that solicit user feedback on the performance and delivery of public services.
- This Citizen's Report Card presents findings from research in Mwingi South Constituency whose current Member of parliament is Hon. David Musila.
- A notification for the audit of the constituency was sent to Hon. David Musila, copied to the CEO of the CDF Board on 8th February 2011.
- The report covers one government Financial Year 2009/10. The NTA has not researched the 2010/11 Financial Year since much of the funds have not been spent to date.
- It is important to note that the Financial Year 2009/10 was simply used to set the scope of the work based on NTA's interest but financial reporting takes into consideration accumulated allocations to the monitored projects over the years.
- The monitoring exercise was conducted between April 2011 and February 2012.
- NTA completed field research and the technical assessment in Mwingi South Constituency in November 2011.
- A draft report was produced and sent to the Member of Parliament and CDF committee on 24th November 2012 for comments and feedback. A meeting was subsequently held with the Member of Parliament on 13th December 2011, during which consultations were held, comments and supporting documents provided.
- The MP and the CDF committee were cooperative in provision of information, supporting documents and feedback on the draft report. Overall reception of the NTA process was good demonstrating a commitment to transparency and accountability.
- Correspondence and minutes of NTA meetings with the area Member of Parliament and the CDF Committee on the Mwingi South Constituency Citizen Report Card are listed in Annex 5.

## 2.3 Summary Findings

- A summary of total funds allocated to Mwingi South Constituency is listed in Table 1 below. A total of Kshs. **283,269,456** has been allocated to the constituency since 2003/04.
- The main findings from NTAs research in Mwingi South Constituency are listed in Table 2.
- Project profiles and digital photographs of all CDF projects visited and assessed are listed in Annex 1.

**Table 1: CDF Allocations to Mwingi South Constituency 2003/04 - 2009/10**

Constituency Name	2003/2004	2004/2005	2005/2006	2006/2007	2007/2008	2008/2009	2009/2010	Total
Mwingi South	6,000,000	28,032,436	36,254,804	50,224,362	50,534,574	50,534,574	61,688,706	<b>283,269,456</b>

- The key findings for projects funded and monitored in Financial Year 2009/10 are as follows:

### **Kshs. 13,111,000 of taxpayers' money has been wasted due to badly implemented projects**

12% of the total CDF funds allocated to the monitored projects in FY 2009/10 were on ineffective projects

### **Kshs. 9,000 of taxpayers' money is unaccounted for**

0.008% of the total CDF funds allocated to the monitored projects in FY 2009/10 are unaccounted for

**Table 2: Summary of Findings from NTA Audit of CDF Projects (FY) 2009-10 in Mwingi South Constituency**

Category	Project Assessment Classification	No. of Projects	Budget Awarded	Budget Spent	Budget Unaccounted For	Balance in Bank Account
			Kshs.	Kshs.	Kshs.	
A	<b>Well built, completed projects</b> - good quality construction, good value for money for tax-payers’.	<b>60</b>	50,600,000	50,600,000	-	-
B	<b>Badly built, complete and Ongoing projects</b> - poor quality construction, money wasted, poor value for money	<b>19</b>	13,470,000	13,111,000	9,000	350,000
C	<b>Well built, incomplete projects</b> - project not yet complete, being built in phases, so far well built	<b>43</b>	39,145,000	38,920,000	-	225,000
G	<b>Delayed implementation</b> - project was officially allocated funds but the implementation has not started.	<b>2</b>	4,400,000	-	-	4,400,000
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>124</b>	<b>107,615,000</b>	<b>102,631,000</b>	<b>9,000</b>	<b>4,975,000</b>

Main Findings	Summary of Funds (Kshs.)	% of Total
<b>Total Money Badly Used (B Projects)</b>	<b>13,111,000</b>	<b>12%</b>
<b>Total Money Wasted (D Projects)</b>	-	-
<b>Budget Unaccounted For</b>	<b>9,000</b>	<b>0.008%</b>

#### **2.4 Recommendations to Hon. David Musila and Mwingi South CDFC**

The Minister of Finance in his 2011/2012 budget proposed an allocation amounting to KSh.17.8 million per constituency to complete ongoing/stalled CDF projects. We therefore anticipate completion of these projects by the end of FY 2011/12.

1. Trace and fully account for all missing funds or take the necessary legal action to ensure recovery of the same
2. Reduce by 80% badly implemented CDF projects.
3. Make available all records of CDF projects, plus Bills of Quantities in line with Article 35(a) and (b) of the constitution
4. Involve citizens in the selection and implementation of CDF projects to enhance ownership of the projects by the community and to align to the spirit of the new constitution that encourages citizen participation as provided in Articles 201(a), 10(2) (a), 118(b), Article 174(c) and Article 196(1)(b).
5. Balance the distribution of projects within the Constituency in accordance with Article 191(3)(c)(v) that provides for the promotion of equal opportunity and equal access to government services.

#### **2.5 Recommendations for the CDF Board**

1. Ensure quick response to requests for reallocation of funds from the Constituency Development Fund Committees (CDFCs). The Board is widely accused of delay in approving decisions on reallocation of funds at the constituency level.
2. Regularly update the information listed in the Project Status Reports on the CDF web site to ensure that accurate and up to date information is available to the public.
3. Enhance surveillance on project funds re-allocations to reflect the needs of citizens at the constituency level.
4. Make public findings of audits of CDF to deter cases of corruption and mismanagement
5. Take necessary action on cases of misappropriated funds.

### 3.0 NTA Research Methodology

The research methodology involved the following stages and methods.

#### 1. Secondary Data Collection and Analysis

NTA Regional Officer held a series of meetings with district and constituency officials to collect information on CDF projects funded in FY 2009/10.

#### 2. Project Site Visit, Rapid Assessment, and User Interviews

NTA Regional Officer visited all selected CDF projects funded in FY 2009/10. At each project site they:

- i. took digital photographs of the project;
- ii. undertook a rapid assessment of the project using a structured Project Rapid Assessment Form (see Annex 2)<sup>1</sup>.

#### 3. Desk-based Independent Technical Assessment and Strategic Visits

At this stage NTA Regional Officer contracted a local engineer (or quantity surveyor) to undertake an independent desk review which involved: (i) analysis of secondary data and data collected in the field; (ii) strategic field visits to selected projects where additional information was needed; and, (iii) classification of all projects into one of the six categories above.

#### 4. Desk-based Analysis and Final Project Classification

Following the independent technical assessment, the NTA Regional Officer met with the contracted engineer or quantity surveyor to compare her/his list of categorised projects. The output of this meeting was a final list of categorised projects as follows:

##### Category A Projects – Well implemented, completed projects

This category was for CDF projects which had scored above 50% on the Project Rapid Assessment Form (Annex 3)<sup>2</sup>, and were found to be well built, with good value for money (i.e. the budget was the right amount for the infrastructure delivered).

##### Category B Projects – Badly implemented, complete and incomplete projects

This category was for CDF projects which had scored less than 50% on the Project Rapid Assessment Form, and were found to be poorly constructed with poor value for money, and/or with budgets much larger than what was actually delivered.

##### Category C Projects – Well implemented, incomplete projects

This category was for CDF projects which had scored above 50% on the Project Rapid Assessment Form, and were projects well implemented but ongoing, i.e. money had been used to build a structure of good quality, but the construction is incomplete.

##### Category D Projects - Abandoned Projects

This category of projects are incomplete and did not receive financial allocation in the subsequent Financial Year. It should be noted that the CDF Act provides for continuous allocation to projects until completion.

##### Category E Projects – Ghost projects

This category was for CDF projects which had been officially allocated funds but the project did not physically exist at the time of study i.e. it was a ghost project.

---

<sup>1</sup> The data listed in this form was based on the findings from interviews with project users

<sup>2</sup> The Research Officer gave each project a score out of 30 marks for: (1) Community participation in project selection/community users satisfaction with the project (10 marks); (2) Project completion status - on time/within budget? (10 marks); and, (3) Visual assessment of overall quality of construction and finish (10 marks).

### **Category F Projects – Reallocated Funds**

This category was for CDF projects that were not implemented for the reason that the funds were reallocated to other projects and with authority from the CDF Board in accordance with the CDF Act.

### **Category G Projects - Delayed implementation**

The project was officially allocated funds but the implementation has not started and funds are in the project account.

### **5. Desk-based Independent Technical Assessment and Strategic Visits**

At this stage NTA Research Officers contracted a local engineer (or quantity surveyor) to undertake an independent desk review which involved: (i) analysis of secondary data and data collected in the field; (ii) strategic field visits to selected projects where additional information was needed; and, (iii) classification of all projects into one of the six categories above.

### **6. Desk-based Analysis and Final Project Classification**

Following the independent technical assessment, the NTA Research Officer met with the contracted engineer or quantity surveyor to compare her/his list of categorised projects. The output of this meeting was a final list of categorised projects.


### **7. Comments and Review**

Following the elaborate process of compiling the Citizen's Report Card, the NTA developed a draft report of the findings. This report was then sent to the area Member of Parliament (copied to the CDF Board) for his/her comments. The MP was given a period of two weeks to comment on the draft report. In cases where the NTA did not receive any communication a reminder letter was sent at the end of week four. If the NTA had no comments by the end of week six then the draft CDF CRC was processed for publishing.




**Do you know how the project was identified?**

95% of the respondents know how the project was identified, while 4% of the respondents do not know




**Were women involved in the project identification?**

98% of the respondents said women were involved, 2% said they were not involved




**Were the disabled involved in project identification?**

23% of the respondents said the disabled were involved in the selection of projects, while 77% said they were not involved




**Were the youth involved in project identification?**

95% of the respondents said the youth were involved, while 5% said they were not involved.




**Does the community feel they own the project?**

23% of the respondents said the community feels they own the project, while 77% said the community does not.




**Do you know how the materials are/were supplied/acquired?**

69% of the respondents said they know how the materials were supplied/ acquired, while 31% said they do not know.




### Does the project serve the intended purpose?

58% of the respondents said the project serves the intended purpose, while 42% said the project does not.




### Do you know how the contractor was identified?

80% of the respondents know how the contractor was identified, while 20% said they don't know




### Is this project a priority for this community?

91% of the respondents said the project is a priority for this community, while 9% said it's not a priority




# Annex 1


Project Classification Summary Tables,  
Project Profiles and digital photographs  
for all CDF projects visited and assessed in  
the Financial Year (FY) 2009 - 2010

<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/001/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Kavisu primary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of 2 classrooms		
<b>Location</b>	Ukasi		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	12.07.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	650,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	650,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> A
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	90%		<b>Project Status</b> Complete and in use


**Comments:** The CDF allocation was used to construct the two classrooms. The workmanship is good with good quality materials used. The work done is commensurate with the amount allocated. The project represents value for money.

<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/003/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Kasevi dispensary		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Purchasing of a water tank (10,000lts)		
<b>Location</b>	Kyome		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	12.07.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	80,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	80,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> A
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	85%		<b>Project Status</b> Complete and in use


**Comments:** The water tank purchased is of good quality. The plinth was well constructed and the tank was properly installed.


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/010/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Kwa-Kisasi dam		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of sub-surface dam		
<b>Location</b>	Mumbuni		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	12.07.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	300,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	300,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> A
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	78 %		<b>Project Status</b> Complete and in use


**Comments:** The community proposed the project due to water shortages experienced in the area. The concrete was well reinforced and sand used is of good quality. The weir was well casted with well set wing walls. The project was well done with walls strong enough to check erosion.


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/012/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Mwalili surface dam		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of a sub-surface dam		
<b>Location</b>	Wingemi		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	13.07.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	1,350,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	1,350,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> A
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	80%		<b>Project Status</b> Complete and in use


**Comments:** The community proposed the project to harvest water during the dry season. They participated in the project implementation. The materials used are of high quality, good workmanship was employed and the project represents value for money.

<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/005/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Mui dispensary		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of a maternity wing		
<b>Location</b>	Mui		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	14.07.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	1,700,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	1,700,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> A
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	83%		<b>Project Status</b> Complete and in use
<b>Comments:</b> The community proposed the project to access health services within the location. The project has been well implemented within the budget and time frame. The materials used in the construction of foundation, walling and roofing are of good quality. High quality workmanship has been employed in foundation, walling and roofing. The project has been well implemented and represents value for money.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/006/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Mutyangome dispensary		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of maternity ward		
<b>Location</b>	Mutyangome		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	13.07.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	500,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	500,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> A
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	83%		<b>Project Status</b> Complete and in use
<b>Comments:</b> The community proposed the project and participated in the implementation process. The stones were well dressed, sand, roofing materials and fittings are of good quality. The walls are well plastered, painted and finishing well done. The finishing of the project was well done with good fine finish of plaster and paint. The project was well implemented and represents value for money.			

<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/013/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Kilulu dispensary		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Purchase of a water tank		
<b>Location</b>	Mumbuni		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	11.07.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	80,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	80,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> A
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	75%		<b>Project Status</b> Complete and in use
<b>Comments:</b> The community proposed the project to address water shortages at the dispensary. A good quality plastic water tank was purchased and well installed on a plinth.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/014/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Kasevi dispensary		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of a dispensary		
<b>Location</b>	Kyome		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	12.07.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	1,000,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	1,000,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> A
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	93%		<b>Project Status</b> Complete and in use
<b>Comments:</b> The structure was well constructed, sub-structure and super structure are of good quality materials; stones, sand-cement ratio are of good quality. Workmanship is of high quality, foundation, walling and plastering work is excellent. The project will well serve the community for long.			

<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/021/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Mbiam primary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of 2 classrooms		
<b>Location</b>	Nuu		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	13.07.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	920,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	920,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> A
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	85%		<b>Project Status</b> Complete and in use


**Comments:** Materials used are of high quality, walls are well constructed, roofing was well done with good quality iron sheets and well fitted fascia board. The implementation of the project was well done and the amount spent was equivalent to the work output.

<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/017/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Kaai girls' secondary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of gate and fencing		
<b>Location</b>	Mutyangome		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	13.07.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	660,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	660,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> A
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	93%		<b>Project Status</b> Complete and in use


**Comments:** The concrete posts used are of good quality, the barbed wire and chain link is of good gauge, concrete posts are well positioned, chain link is well fixed and the gate is well constructed. Overall implementation of the project is commendable and represents value for money.


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/018/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Kaai girls' secondary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of a laboratory		
<b>Location</b>	Mutyangome		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	13.07.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	1,200,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	1,200,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> A
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	93%		<b>Project Status</b> Complete and in use


**Comments:** The materials used in the construction of foundation, walling and roofing are of good quality. The workmanship exhibited in the construction is good. The overall project implementation is of good standard and the project represents value for money.


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/019/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Nuu Kimongo road		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Road gravelling and culvert construction		
<b>Location</b>	Nuu		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	13.07.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	1,000,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	1,000,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> A
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	80%		<b>Project Status</b> Complete and in use

**Comments:** The community proposed the project to improve transport and communication in the area. The materials used are of good quality and the workmanship is commendable. The size of culverts used is standard and the concrete is of good quality. The project was well implemented with high value for money.


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/015/2009-10				
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south				
<b>Project Name</b>	Thokoa secondary school				
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of laboratory				
<b>Location</b>	Kyome				
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	12.07.2011				
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	800,000				
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	800,000				
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0			<b>Project Category</b>	A
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	98%			<b>Project Status</b>	Complete and in use
<b>Comments:</b> The stones, sand and roofing timber are of high. The workmanship is of good quality. The project was implemented within the budget and time frame. The project represents value for money.					


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/020/2009-10				
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south				
<b>Project Name</b>	Nuu special unit				
<b>Project Activity</b>	Purchase of furniture				
<b>Location</b>	Nuu				
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	13.07.2011				
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	200,000				
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	200,000				
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0			<b>Project Category</b>	A
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	83%			<b>Project Status</b>	Complete and in use
<b>Comments:</b> The furniture purchased - plastic chairs and tables - are of good quality. The tables were made by local carpenters at the rate of Kshs. 3,500 per table and 300 Kenpoly plastic chairs were purchased. The workmanship on the tables is of high quality.					


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/022/2009-10				
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south				
<b>Project Name</b>	Mui secondary school				
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of laboratory				
<b>Location</b>	Mui				
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	14.07.2011				
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	900,000				
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	900,000				
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0			<b>Project Category</b>	A
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	80%			<b>Project Status</b>	Complete and not in use
<b>Comments:</b> The materials used in the construction of the facility are of good quality. The equipping was done with good quality laboratory equipments. The roofing, walling and key-jointing and foundation are well done. The foundation floor slab is of good quality concrete and good workmanship. The structure has been well implemented producing good value for the funds allocated.					


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/023/2009-10				
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south				
<b>Project Name</b>	Kisovo secondary school				
<b>Project Activity</b>	Wiring and electricity installation				
<b>Location</b>	Mumbuni				
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	11.07.2011				
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	150,000				
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	150,000				
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0			<b>Project Category</b>	A
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	75%			<b>Project Status</b>	Complete and not in use
<b>Comments:</b> The community proposed the project activity to provide lighting in the school. The project was well implemented with good quality materials used and good workmanship employed in the installation of wiring and fixing meter box. The wiring materials used are of good quality. The project was completed within the scheduled time and the money was well spent.					




<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/038/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Katalwa dispensary		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Installation of electricity and wiring		
<b>Location</b>	Mumbuni		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	11.07.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	400,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	400,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> A
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	70%		<b>Project Status</b> Complete and not in use
<b>Comments:</b> The electrical wiring was well done with good quality electrical gadgets. Good quality PVC pipes conduits have been used. The fitting of the gadgets has been well done and the bulbs were well fitted.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/052/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Kitulani secondary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of 4 classrooms		
<b>Location</b>	Thitani		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	05.08.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	1,800,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	1,800,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> A
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	75%		<b>Project Status</b> Complete and in use
<b>Comments:</b> The community proposed the project and participated in its implementation. The materials used in the building; walling (Juja bricks), fixing of steel windows and doors and fascia board are of good quality. The roofing, gambles, lintel, walling and foundation have been well done. The expenditure reflects good use of funds and the work done represents good value for taxpayers money.			

<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/057/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Kasanga D.E.B secondary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Electrical wiring		
<b>Location</b>	Thitani		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	05.08.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	150,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	150,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> A
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	68 %		<b>Project Status</b> Complete and in use
<b>Comments:</b> The wiring cables and gadgets used are of good quality. The bulbs were well fitted and wiring was well done.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/054/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Kavaini secondary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of laboratory		
<b>Location</b>	Thitani		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	05.08.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	955,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	955,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> A
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	75%		<b>Project Status</b> Complete and in use
<b>Comments:</b> The materials used in the construction are of good quality. The building is well set and all aspects - foundation, walling, roofing and finishes - of the structure are well done. The finishes have been well done with the right mortar screed. The structure has been well done with good quality stones and high quality workmanship. The work output represents value for money.			

<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/058/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Kanyaa administration police camp		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of staff houses		
<b>Location</b>	Thitani		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	05.08.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	500,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	500,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> A
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	68%		<b>Project Status</b> Complete and in use


**Comments:** The foundation of the building has been well set with good quality materials. Floor slab is of good quality screed and well compacted with hard core fill and top screed. The walls are done with good quality and well dressed stones. The foundation is well set and key-jointing well done. The structure has been well done.

<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/055/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Thonzweni dispensary		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of dispensary		
<b>Location</b>	Kyome		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	04.08.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	1,000,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	1,000,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> A
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	78%		<b>Project Status</b> Complete and in use


**Comments:** The building is constructed with good quality materials and commendable workmanship. The stones have been well dressed, jointed and keyed on the outside. The building is designed and constructed with good quality materials. The workmanship is superb and expenditure was within the estimated cost . There is value for taxpayers money.


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/059/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Kitulani secondary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of laboratory		
<b>Location</b>	Thitani		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	04.08.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	1,200,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	1,200,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> A
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	80%		<b>Project Status</b> Complete and in use


**Comments:** The facility has been well implemented with good quality stones and sand-cement jointing mortar being used. The roof, steel windows and doors and fascia board were well fitted. The structure is firm, plastering and painting have been well done. The overall workmanship and project implementation is commendable.


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/060/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Kaliluni secondary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of 4 classrooms		
<b>Location</b>	Migwani		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	03.08.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	1,100,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	1,100,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> A
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	78%		<b>Project Status</b> Complete and in use


**Comments:** The project implementation process was well supervised producing a good quality structure. The materials used are of good quality. The workmanship is also good and the cost of the structure is commensurate with the work out put.


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/120/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Muliluni dispensary		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Purchase of water tank - 10,000litres		
<b>Location</b>	Kiomo		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	30.08.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	80,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	80,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> A
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	75%		<b>Project Status</b> Complete and in use
<b>Comments:</b> The water tank is of good quality. Good quality foundation and plinth were constructed and the tank firmly installed.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/062/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Nzeluni girls' secondary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Compound fencing and electrical wiring		
<b>Location</b>	Nzeluni		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	04.08.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	950,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	950,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> A
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	78%		<b>Project Status</b> Complete and in use
<b>Comments:</b> The posts used ,gauge and barbed wire are of good quality. The concrete posts were well reinforced and are made of good class mass concrete, durable and well fitted to the barbed wire and chain. The chain link is of 7feet high and fencing has been done all round the school which is 9acres. The power lines were well installed and protected. The electrical gadgets are of good quality, bulbs have been well fitted and power house well secured. The workmanship employed is commendable. The project represents value for money.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/063/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Kikiini secondary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of 4 classrooms		
<b>Location</b>	Nzauni		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	03.08.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	1,400,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	1,400,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> A
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	88%		<b>Project Status</b> Complete and in use
<b>Comments:</b> The construction materials used are of good quality and the workmanship is commendable. The project's overall implementation is of good quality and commendable. The building is firm and well designed. The classrooms are of good fine finishing, well constructed and presentable. The project represents value for money.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/069/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Nzauni dispensary		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Purchase of furniture		
<b>Location</b>	Nzauni		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	03.08.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	150,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	150,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> A
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	58%		<b>Project Status</b> Complete and use
<b>Comments:</b> The furniture purchased are of medium quality. The workmanship on the cupboard is good and side wall cupboards are made of good quality materials. The beds were also purchased.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/065/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Ilalambyu secondary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of a laboratory		
<b>Location</b>	Nzeluni		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	04.08.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	1,075,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	1,075,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> A
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	83%		<b>Project Status</b> Complete and in use
<b>Comments:</b> The project is a major structure in the new school. The materials used in the construction are of good quality and the workmanship is excellent. The foundation skirting was well raised giving the laboratory a good touch and look. The project was well supervised and represents value for money.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/066/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Kanyaa dispensary		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Electrical wiring		
<b>Location</b>	Thitani		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	05.08.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	150,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	150,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> A
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	73%		<b>Project Status</b> Complete and in use
<b>Comments:</b> The electrical gadgets used are of good quality and the workmanship in fitting the electrical gadgets is good.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS /067/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Ilalambyu/Mung'alu dispensary		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of dispensary and purchase of furniture		
<b>Location</b>	Nzeluni		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	04.08.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	1,100,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	1,100,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> A
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	78 %		<b>Project Status</b> Complete and in use
<b>Comments:</b> The stones used have been well dressed, mortar screed is of good quality and the facia board is of good quality and right size (8' x 1'). The foundation, walling and roof have been well set and constructed. Key-jointing has been well done and clean. Walls moulding, plastering and skirting have been well done and raised above the ground level. The furniture purchased are of good quality timber.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF /MGS/068/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Kaikungu dispensary		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of dispensary & purchase of furniture		
<b>Location</b>	Nzauni		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	03.08.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	1,245,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	1,245,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> A
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	75 %		<b>Project Status</b> Complete and in use
<b>Comments:</b> The building has been well set and constructed. The plastering is well done with good quality screed. The painting has been done with good quality distemper paint. The facia board fitted well to roof. The walls are well plastered with good quality screed. The furniture purchased are of good quality cypress timber.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/064/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Nzalae secondary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of 4 classrooms		
<b>Location</b>	Ngutani		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	05.08.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	1,350,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	1,350,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> A
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	80%		<b>Project Status</b> Complete and in use
<b>Comments:</b> The materials used are of good quality and the workmanship is commendable. The foundation, walling, roofing and fixing of windows and doors are well done. The windows and doors are fitted with good coplars. The foundation is well set, walling well done with good quality stones and the roof is well set with facia board fitted. The overall project implementation is well done with good accountability of taxpayers funds.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/070/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Kavililo primary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Renovation of 3 classrooms		
<b>Location</b>	Nzauni		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	03.08.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	250,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	250,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> A
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	70%		<b>Project Status</b> Complete and in use
<b>Comments:</b> The walls were well plastered, painted and keyed with the right size of mortar screed. Floor is well screeded with no visible cracks and the roof was well fitted with rafters and purlins. Painting was also done with good quality paint. Inside walls were smooth plastered and well painted with good quality water based paint. The roof was well fitted with rafters and purlins and quality iron sheets.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/071/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Katoteni dispensary		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Electrical wiring		
<b>Location</b>	Ngutani		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	05.08.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	370,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	370,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> A
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	75%		<b>Project Status</b> Complete and not in use
<b>Comments:</b> The electrical gadgets used are of good quality and good metal meter box installed. The electrical fittings were well done with cables and the bulb holders well fitted. The electrical gadgets are of high quality and the workmanship was superb.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/073/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Nzeluni dispensary		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of maternity ward		
<b>Location</b>	Nzeluni		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	04.08.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	1,050,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	1,050,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> A
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	70 %		<b>Project Status</b> Complete and not in use
<b>Comments:</b> The materials used in plastering and plaster screed are of good quality. The workmanship is good and the project was well implemented within the budget and in good time.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/072/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Kikiini dispensary		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of dispensary and purchase of furniture		
<b>Location</b>	Nzauni		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	03.08.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	1,100,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	1,100,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> A
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	78%		<b>Project Status</b> Complete and not in use
<b>Comments:</b> The materials used are of good quality materials and superb workmanship is exhibited. The foundation, walls and roofing have been well done producing a good quality structure. The CDF allocation was well used to give the community value for their money.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/086/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Kea secondary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of laboratory		
<b>Location</b>	Nzauni		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	03.08.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	1,050,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	1,050,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> A
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	70%		<b>Project Status</b> Complete and not in use
<b>Comments:</b> The project was well implemented with good community participation who also contributed building materials. Foundation and walling of building were well set and constructed. The bricks were well kilned and of good quality, the plaster and mortar are of good quality and of the required ratio.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/087/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Itoloni dispensary		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of a dispensary		
<b>Location</b>	Migwani		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	03.08.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	650,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	650,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> A
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	80%		<b>Project Status</b> Complete and not in use
<b>Comments:</b> The project was proposed by the community to access health services. The materials used are of good quality and the workmanship is commendable. The building is well set and finishes well done. The plastic water tank is of good quality and its plinth is well done with good quality concrete.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/129/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Winzyeei primary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Purchase of 44 desks		
<b>Location</b>	Thaana nzau		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	2608.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	140,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	140,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> A
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	63 %		<b>Project Status</b> Complete and in use
<b>Comments:</b> The tables and lockers bought are made of cypress timber and of good quality. The lockers are well jointed with wood glue and hinges well fitted.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/075/2009-10	
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south	
<b>Project Name</b>	Kwa Kavoo dispensary	
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of dispensary and purchase of furniture	
<b>Location</b>	Nzeluni	
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	04.08.2011	
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	850,000	
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	850,000	
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0	
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	88%	<b>Project Status</b> Complete and not in use
<b>Comments:</b> The materials used in all components of the structure are of good quality. The workmanship displayed is of high quality. The building is professionally done with good finish and represents value for taxpayers money. There was accountability in the use of the funds to complete the high quality structure with a lean budget. The facility awaits staffing and equipping to serve the community.		


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/102/2009-10	
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south	
<b>Project Name</b>	Mbondoni secondary school	
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of 2 classrooms	
<b>Location</b>	Kiomo	
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	26.08.2011	
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	1,050,000	
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	1,050,000	
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0	
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	75%	<b>Project Status</b> Complete and in use
<b>Comments:</b> The materials used are of good quality and the workmanship employed is excellent. Good quality well dressed stones, jointing mortar and screed floor were used. Gables and coplars are well done, plastered and painted. Doors are made of steel and well fitted, verandah slab is well done while the fascia board is well fitted to the roof with well positioned gutters for rain water harvesting. The project represents value for money.		


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/107/2009-10	
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south	
<b>Project Name</b>	Syomikuku Kyethani water-project	
<b>Project Activity</b>	Piping extensions	
<b>Location</b>	Kyethani	
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	29.08.2011	
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	460,000	
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	460,000	
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0	
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	68%	<b>Project Status</b> Complete and not in use
<b>Comments:</b> The quality of pipes used, GI, of reducing size 3"-2"-1" from the source to the water point. The tank and water kiosks are well constructed and the trenches were well dug to more than 2.5 feet deep. The pipes have been well jointed and laid.		

<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/117/2009-10	
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south	
<b>Project Name</b>	Kalanga secondary school	
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of 2 classrooms	
<b>Location</b>	Mbuvu	
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	30.08.2011	
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	850,000	
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	850,000	
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0	
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	78%	<b>Project Status</b> Complete and not in use
<b>Comments:</b> The materials used in the construction of the walls are of good quality. The stones have been well dressed and jointed with good quality mortar screed. The workmanship is of good quality. The funds have been well utilised giving the taxpayers value for their money.		


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/074/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Kitumbi technical institute		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of workshop		
<b>Location</b>	Kyome		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	03.08.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	700,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	700,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> A
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	78 %		<b>Project Status</b> Complete and not in use
<b>Comments:</b> The community proposed the technical institute to enable the youth in the area access technical skills. The stones used are of good quality and well dressed, the window glasses and iron sheets are of good quality. The foundation and floor slab have been well set above the ground level, stones have been well jointed and keyed for walling. The lintel is of the right width and well done. The workmanship is excellent and the work result represents value for money.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/109/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Wingemi secondary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of 2 classrooms and purchase of lockers		
<b>Location</b>	Wingeni		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	29.08.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	1,700,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	1,700,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> A
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	63%		<b>Project Status</b> Complete and in use
<b>Comments:</b> The materials used are of good quality and the workmanship is commendable. Walling, lintel, plastering, roofing and fitting windows were all well done. The joints are well done and the roof was well fitted with right size of timber. The lockers purchased are of good quality.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/118/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Mbondoni dispensary		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Purchase of a water tank - 10,000litres		
<b>Location</b>	Kiomo		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	05.08.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	80,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	80,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> A
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	75%		<b>Project Status</b> Complete and in use
<b>Comments:</b> The water tank purchased is of good quality and its plinth well constructed with good quality material.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/119/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Syomiku primary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of 2 classrooms		
<b>Location</b>	Mbuvu		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	30.08.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	500,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	500,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> A
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	78%		<b>Project Status</b> Complete and in use
<b>Comments:</b> The bricks used were well kilned, plaster screed is of good quality and the steel doors and windows fitted are of good quality. The workmanship exhibited in the construction of the foundation, walling, roofing, and finishes were done very well. The building is firm and worth the funds spent.			




<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/061/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Kyamboo primary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Renovation of 8 classrooms and administration block		
<b>Location</b>	Migwani		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	03.08.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	1,195,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	1,195,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> A
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	73%		<b>Project Status</b> Complete and in use
<b>Comments:</b> The project has been well implemented with good quality materials. The plaster finish screed and timber is of good quality. The steel windows fitted are of good quality. Overall implementation represents good value for money with each classroom estimated cost of Kshs. 149,375.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/128/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Yenzuva secondary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of laboratory		
<b>Location</b>	Thaana nzau		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	26.08.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	1,400,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	1,400,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> A
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	73 %		<b>Project Status</b> Complete and in use
<b>Comments:</b> The stones used are of good quality, painting is well done and of good quality. The roof is well done with good quality timber and fascia board. The foundation is well set above ground level. Gable and window coplars are well plastered. The building retains its strength in the super-structure giving it value and durability.			

<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/114/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Kiomo secondary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of administration block		
<b>Location</b>	Kiomo		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	26.08.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	1,000,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	1,000,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> A
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	80%		<b>Project Status</b> Complete in use
<b>Comments:</b> The materials used are of good quality and the workmanship is commendable. The foundation is well set resulting to good construction of the wall and lean use of mortar. The roofing was well lined and the project was well implemented.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/078/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Migwani D.E.B primary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Renovation of 2 classrooms		
<b>Location</b>	Migwani		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	03.08.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	400,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	400,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> A
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	68 %		<b>Project Status</b> Complete and in use
<b>Comments:</b> The plaster is of good quality and good mortar has been used. Plaster, painting and finish of floors has been well done. The walling, key-jointing and roofing were well done. There is value for taxpayers money.			

<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/121/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Kithyoko sub-surface dam		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of sub-surface dam		
<b>Location</b>	Thaana nzau		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	26.08.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	500,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	500,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> A
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	65%		<b>Project Status</b> Complete and in use


**Comments:** The boulders were jointed with good quality mortar screed and wing walls are of masonry stones. The dam was well reinforced with boulders and masonry columns. The project depicts value for money.

<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/123/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Karura dispensary		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of latrine & purchase of water tank - 10,000litres		
<b>Location</b>	Kyathani		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	30.08.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	230,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	230,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> A
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	68 %		<b>Project Status</b> Complete and in use


**Comments:** The plaster screed on toilet walls is of good quality. The tank purchased is of good quality and was well installed. The sub-structure and superstructure of the toilets are well set, constructed and plastered. The expenditure was well utilised and there is value for money to taxpayers.


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/053/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Kanyekini dispensary		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Purchasing of water tank - 10,000litres		
<b>Location</b>	Kyome		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	04.08.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	80,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	80,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> A
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	65%		<b>Project Status</b> Complete and not in use


**Comments:** The water tank purchased is of good quality. The plinth is made of good quality dressed stones. The tank was well installed although the water harvesting gutters were yet to be fitted at the time of rapid assessment.


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/002/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Thokoa secondary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Electricity wiring		
<b>Location</b>	Kyome		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	12.07.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	350,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	350,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> A
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	85%		<b>Project Status</b> Complete and not in use


**Comments:** The community proposed the project activity of school electrification to improve lighting in the school. The quality of materials used is good while the workmanship is commendable.


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/028/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Nduvani dispensary		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of dispensary & purchase of water tank - 10,000litres		
<b>Location</b>	Mui		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	14.07.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	1,350,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	1,350,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> A
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	88%		<b>Project Status</b> Complete and not in use
<b>Comments:</b> The materials used in the construction of the foundation, roofing ,walling and fittings are of good quality. Workmanship in roofing, flooring and plastering is good. The tank purchased is of good quality, installed on well constructed plinth.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/016/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Kai-Kalesi road		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Road construction - 8kilometres		
<b>Location</b>	Mutyangome		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	13.07.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	650,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	650,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> A
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	88%		<b>Project Status</b> Complete and in use
<b>Comments:</b> Good quality murrum were used, the road drains well done, centre of the road well drained and the width of the road is of the right size. The high quality of workmanship is evidenced which resulted in proper implementation of the project thus there is value for taxpayers money. Bush clearing done for 2.3kilometres, stem/stone removing for 0.5 kilometres, slope work done for 2kilometres, excavation done for 1.3kilometres, ditch work done for 2kilometres and camber work done for 1.8 kilometres.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/122/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Nzuli secondary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of laboratory		
<b>Location</b>	Thaana nzau		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	05.08.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	1,550,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	1,550,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> A
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	78%		<b>Project Status</b> Complete and not in use
<b>Comments:</b> The material used are of good quality and workmanship is commendable. The stones used are of good quality and well dressed. The fascia board is made of cypress and the iron sheets are of good quality. The foundation and walling are well set, the plaster is well done and roof well fitted. The painting was done with water based paint. The project has been well implemented and represents value for money.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/004/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Masai-kathita road		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of a drift		
<b>Location</b>	Mwingi		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	19.07.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	500,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	500,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> A
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	88%		<b>Project Status</b> Complete and in use
<b>Comments:</b> The community proposed the project to improve transport in the area. The drift was well constructed using high class 20 concrete. The workmanship is commendable.			

<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/007/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Mulinde dispensary		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Purchase of water tank (10,000litres)		
<b>Location</b>	Ukasi		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	12.07.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	80,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	80,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> B
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	40%		<b>Project Status</b> Incomplete and not in use
<b>Comments:</b> The allocation was used to purchase a plastic water tank. The workmanship during the installation of the water tank was poor; there are no gutters to harvest water and the base was poorly done.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/008/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Kwanikwa sub-surface dam		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of well		
<b>Location</b>	Mui		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	14.07.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	150,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	150,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> B
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	48%		<b>Project Status</b> Complete and in use
<b>Comments:</b> The concrete used is of good quality. The well has no cover lid. The well is very shallow and is almost drying up. The well was not dug past the hard rock which requires machine to drill. There is no commensurate value at all to taxpayers.			

<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/024/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Kavuoni primary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Renovation of 2 classrooms		
<b>Location</b>	Mwingi		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	19.07.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	500,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	500,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> B
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	28%		<b>Project Status</b> Incomplete and not in use
<b>Comments:</b> The bricks used are of poor quality and the ratio of sand-cement is poor. The work was done to roofing level. Broken doors, poor walling and poor foundation characterise the structure. Implementation was poorly done due to poor quality materials used hence no commensurate value for money.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/049/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Thokoa secondary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of administration block		
<b>Location</b>	Kyome		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	12.07.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	360,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	360,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> B
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	30%		<b>Project Status</b> Ongoing and not in use
<b>Comments:</b> The stones used are of good quality, however the blinding materials used are of poor quality. The foundation and walling are poorly set. Hard core blinding is also poorly done. Implementation of the project and expenditure of the allocation leaves a lot to be desired.			

<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/032/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Musuani secondary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of dining hall		
<b>Location</b>	Kyome		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	19.07.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	1,330,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	1,330,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> B
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	48%		<b>Project Status</b> Incomplete and not in use


**Comments:** The kilned bricks used are of poor quality, the mortar mix ratio is poor, workmanship on walling is poor and the lintel was not done at the pillars. The amount of money spent could have done quality work. There is no commensurate value to taxpayers money.

<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/045/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Lundi dispensary		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction maternity ward		
<b>Location</b>	Mui		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	14.07.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	1,200,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	850,000		
<b>Balance in Bank Account</b>	350,000		<b>Project Category</b> B
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	45%		<b>Project Status</b> Ongoing and not in use


**Comments:** The bricks, iron sheets and mortar mix ratio are of good quality. However, the roofing and walling was poorly done. The iron sheets are not well fitted, no valleys or fascia board fitted. The project implementation is poor hence no commensurate value for taxpayers money. Refer to annex 8.

<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/101/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Kasavini primary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of 1 classroom		
<b>Location</b>	Thaana Nzau		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	26.08.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	465,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	465,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> B
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	48 %		<b>Project Status</b> Ongoing and not in use


**Comments:** The bricks used are well kilned, the paint is of good quality and good quality supporting steel posts. The foundation is well set and well raised above the ground level. Good quality supporting steel posts have been used. The roof has been well fitted with well lined iron sheets. Kshs. 465, 000 was enough to fully complete a classroom, but in this case the parents and the community contributed all the materials yet the work was not completed. There is no commensurate value for taxpayers' money.

<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/033/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Mui youth polytechnic		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of a workshop		
<b>Location</b>	Mui		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	14.07.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	1,200,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	1,200,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> B
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	45%		<b>Project Status</b> Incomplete and not in use


**Comments:** The kilned bricks used are of medium quality and floor slab was done using good quality mix ratio. The doors were not painted, windows have no glasses and the floor slab skirting was not well done. Painting was poorly done and the floor was not well finished. The amount spent was enough to complete the project. The funds were not well spent.

<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/041/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Muyuni dispensary		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Maternity ward construction		
<b>Location</b>	Mui		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	14.07.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	1,025,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	1,016,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	9,000		<b>Project Category</b> B
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	45%		<b>Project Status</b> Incomplete and not in use


**Comments:** The bricks used are of good quality but the sand-cement mix ratio is poor. The foundation was not well set, the floor slab concrete was poorly done same to walling. The amount spent is not commensurate with the work out put. This implies the project was not properly supervised. More could have been achieved with the allocation.

<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/076/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Kasavani secondary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of 2 classrooms		
<b>Location</b>	Nzauni		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	03.08.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	600,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	600,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> B
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	48%		<b>Project Status</b> Incomplete and in use


**Comments:** The bricks used are well kilned but plaster was of poor quality and poor workmanship. The building is poorly set and the lintel was not well done. The foundation was poorly done and steel windows are of poor quality. Overall construction of classrooms is poor and amounts to waste of public funds.


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/056/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Syomung'ele primary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Renovation of 4 classrooms		
<b>Location</b>	Kyome		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	04.08.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	950,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	950,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> B
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	48 %		<b>Project Status</b> Complete and in use


**Comments:** The bottom walls skirting are dented on the corners, windows have been well fitted and glassed, however, the doors are made of poor quality timber. The expenditure on one classroom is kshs. 237, 500 which is on the higher side for renovation, this signals misuse of funds.


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/110/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Ngangani primary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Renovation of 4 classrooms		
<b>Location</b>	Wingemi		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	29.08.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	800,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	800,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> B
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	48 %		<b>Project Status</b> Incomplete and in use


**Comments:** The community proposed the project and freely contributed materials towards the project implementation. The work has been delayed for over three years. The amount spent was more as parents contributed free materials. The allocation was not well utilised.


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/090/2009-10				
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south				
<b>Project Name</b>	Wikitoo primary school				
<b>Project Activity</b>	Completion of an office and construction of 1 classroom				
<b>Location</b>	Kyome				
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	04.08.2011				
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	350,000				
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	350,000				
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0			<b>Project Category</b>	B
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	48 %			<b>Project Status</b>	Ongoing and in use
<b>Comments:</b> The parents proposed the project and contributed materials towards its implementation. They brought ballast and kilned bricks. The allocation was more than enough to do the walling and renovation. The assessment revealed improper use of taxpayers money.					


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/103/2009-10				
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south				
<b>Project Name</b>	Mbondoni primary school				
<b>Project Activity</b>	Renovation of 5 classrooms				
<b>Location</b>	Kiomo				
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	26.08.2011				
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	860,000				
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	860,000				
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0			<b>Project Category</b>	B
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	48%			<b>Project Status</b>	Complete and in use
<b>Comments:</b> The plastering was well done but has depreciated, the fascia board was fitted but has become loose and the wooden doors fitted are of poor quality. The walling skirting was poorly done. The allocation was not well spent to give value for taxpayers money.					

<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/125/2009-10				
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south				
<b>Project Name</b>	Yatwa-Ukasi road				
<b>Project Activity</b>	Bush clearing				
<b>Location</b>	Wingemi				
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	29.08.2011				
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	250,000				
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	250,000				
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0			<b>Project Category</b>	B
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	45%			<b>Project Status</b>	Ongoing and in use
<b>Comments:</b> The natural materials used are of poor quality and inappropriate for all weather road, murram could have been used to add some quality to the work done. The workmanship is poor, no drains made and shrubs were left at the middle of the road. There was poor supervision amounting to poor project implementation.					


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/116/2009-10				
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south				
<b>Project Name</b>	Kamulalani primary school				
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of 4 classrooms				
<b>Location</b>	Mbuva				
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	30.08.2011				
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	960,000				
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	960,000				
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0			<b>Project Category</b>	B
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	40%			<b>Project Status</b>	Ongoing and not in use
<b>Comments:</b> The bricks used are of poor quality, the building done up to plate level, walling not set well and very thick mortar used. The project's implementation is poorly done with poor quality materials used and more money used compared to the work output.					


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/124/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Nguuni primary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of 4 classrooms		
<b>Location</b>	Wingemi		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	28.08.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	700,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	700,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> B
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	48%		<b>Project Status</b> Ongoing and not in use
<b>Comments:</b> The bricks used are of poor quality and have already started weathering before the building is complete. The jointing mortar is of poor ratio mix and too thick. There is also poor foundation and the lintel is not of uniform width and is rough casted with poor concrete class. Much value could have been achieved with the funds since parents contributed materials used. The project implementation is poor and does not represent value for money.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/088/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Nzatani dispensary		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of maternity ward		
<b>Location</b>	Nzeluni		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	04.08.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	1,100,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	1,100,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> B
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	45%		<b>Project Status</b> Incomplete and not in use
<b>Comments:</b> The foundation strip is of poor quality concrete, sand-cement mix ratio is poor and hard core filling material is of poor quality. The workmanship employed in the construction of the structure - Foundation, walling and roofing is of poor quality. The general setting of the foundation was poorly done since the walls were not well set on floor slab. The whole building is full of cracks from floor to the walls and at the joints of windows and doors. The foundation was set below the ground level and walls not well set indicated by badly set foundation strip. The is a massive project but due to poor workmanship and lack of supervision, it amounts to wastage of public funds.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/105/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Kwa-Kari itendeu water project		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Piping - 2kilometres		
<b>Location</b>	Kyethani		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	29.08.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	590,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	590,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> B
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	48 %		<b>Project Status</b> Incomplete and not in use
<b>Comments:</b> The community proposed the project to access water at nearby water kiosks. The community dug the trenches without payment. The community fetched water from the water kiosk once when the project was officially being commissioned and the trench ran dry thereafter. The trenches dug were not well back filled. The allocation was well enough to purchase enough pipes and complete the piping activity. The funds were not well spent to give taxpayers value for money.			





<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/096/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Migwani district hospital		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of male ward		
<b>Location</b>	Migwani		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	03.08.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	900,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	900,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> C
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	58 %		<b>Project Status</b> Ongoing and not in use
<b>Comments:</b> The floor slab has been well done with good class of concrete. The foundation walling and the concrete slab has been done and casted.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/108/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Wingemi dispensary		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of laboratory		
<b>Location</b>	Wingemi		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	29.08.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	650,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	650,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> C
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	65%		<b>Project Status</b> Ongoing and in use
<b>Comments:</b> The plaster screed was well done and of right mix, the kilned bricks are of average quality and iron sheets of gauge 32. The walls are plastered well although corner coplars are not finished well. The windows are of good quality and well fitted. The project has been well implemented.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/115/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Winzyeei secondary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of 2 classrooms		
<b>Location</b>	Thaana nzau		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	05.08.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	325,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	325,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> C
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	70%		<b>Project Status</b> Ongoing and not in use
<b>Comments:</b> The foundation strip was well screeded and walling done with good quality stones. The mortar joining the stones is of good quality mix. The concrete mix used on the lintel is of good quality. The building and its walls have been well set and constructed. The stone jointing has been well done with screed and grooves for key-joints. The workmanship so far is commendable.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/040/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Kaai secondary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of dormitory		
<b>Location</b>	Mutyangome		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	13.07.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	1,000,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	1,000,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> C
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	53%		<b>Project Status</b> Ongoing and not in use
<b>Comments:</b> The stones used are of good quality and well dressed. The columns are also of good quality and well reinforced. The workmanship on the walling, foundation and floor slab is good quality. The project depicts value for taxpayers' money.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/077/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	St. Mary's girls secondary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of 4 classrooms		
<b>Location</b>	Ngutani		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	05.08.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	600,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	600,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> C
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	75%		<b>Project Status</b> Ongoing and in use
<b>Comments:</b> The materials used for columns are of good quality, the walling has been done with quality stones, roof is of good quality sheets, the foundation and floor slab have been well set. The fascia board and the roof were well fitted but window glasses have not yet been done.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/79/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Muthioni secondary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of 4 classrooms		
<b>Location</b>	Ngutani		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	05.08.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	1,000,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	1,000,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> C
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	73%		<b>Project Status</b> Ongoing and in use
<b>Comments:</b> The materials used are of good quality: stones and gauge 28 iron sheets. The verandah has been well constructed with good quality screed. The building is well set, roofing is well done and doors and window coplars have been well constructed. The doors and windows are made of steel. The pace of implementation is commendable.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/080/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Ndeleke primary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of classroom		
<b>Location</b>	Thitani		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	05.08.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	400,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	400,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> C
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	68%		<b>Project Status</b> Ongoing and in use
<b>Comments:</b> The stones used have been well dressed, jointing mortar is of good ratio and well placed. The workmanship on walling, roofing and verandah is commendable. The fascia board is well fitted but doors had not yet been fitted at the time of rapid assessment. The project has been well implemented so far.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/085/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Ngongoni secondary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of laboratory		
<b>Location</b>	Ngutani		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	05.08.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	850,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	850,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> C
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	78%		<b>Project Status</b> Ongoing and not in use
<b>Comments:</b> The materials used in the construction are of good quality and the workmanship is commendable. The foundation has been well set, gables are well constructed with good quality kilned bricks and floor slab well raised above the ground level. The windows and doors are metallic and well fitted. The building is firm and well constructed. Stones used up to three courses above lintel. The project has been well constructed, supervised and so far the amount spent represents value for money.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/081/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Katuyu girls' secondary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of 4 classrooms		
<b>Location</b>	Ngutani		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	05.08.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	1,150,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	1,150,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> C
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	75 %		<b>Project Status</b> Ongoing and in use
<b>Comments:</b> The stones and iron sheets used are of good quality, good quality mortar joint is used for walling, columns of the right size were well built and placed. Roofing was well fitted and of the right span. The verandah is well supported with steel pillars and the building is of the right width with stones well jointed and lined.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/082/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Nzatani secondary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of 3 classrooms		
<b>Location</b>	Nzeluni		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	04.08.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	800,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	800,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> C
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	68%		<b>Project Status</b> Ongoing and in use
<b>Comments:</b> Good quality ricks were used. The setting of foundation has been well done, floor slab was well raised above the ground level, joints of right thickness and the fascia board of the right size was well fitted. The building's superstructure and substructure have been well set. The project is well implemented and represents value for money.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/083/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Nzeluni boys secondary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of dinning hall		
<b>Location</b>	Nzeluni		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	04.05.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	1,450,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	1,450,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> C
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	63%		<b>Project Status</b> Ongoing and not in use
<b>Comments:</b> The materials used are of good quality - Juja concrete blocks and kilned bricks. The foundation and walling have been well set with columns of good quality. The building had been done up to plate level, the gambles were being constructed with kilned bricks. The work output so far done is worthy the amount spent and the workmanship is commendable.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/084/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Itumbi dispensary		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of dispensary		
<b>Location</b>	Migwani		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	04.08.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	950,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	950,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> C
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	73%		<b>Project Status</b> Ongoing and not in use
<b>Comments:</b> The materials used are of good quality - stones, iron sheets and metallic posts. The cement-sand ratio is of good quality. The foundation, walling and roofing are well set with good quality concrete class. Jointing of the stones is well done. The project implementation has been well done with proper supervision to achieve value for taxpayers money so far.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/048/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Migwani special unit		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of dormitory		
<b>Location</b>	Migwani		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	11.07.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	800,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	800,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> C
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	68%		<b>Project Status</b> Ongoing and not in use
<b>Comments:</b> The materials used are of good quality and the workmanship is commendable. The stones have been well dressed, good quality timber has been used for the fascia board (8" x 1"). Good quality metal has been used for windows and roofing has been well done with good quality iron sheets. So far, the workmanship is commendable.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/047/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Ngaani secondary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of 4 classrooms		
<b>Location</b>	Nuu		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	13.07.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	1,300,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	1,300,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> C
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	78%		<b>Project Status</b> Ongoing and in use
<b>Comments:</b> The workmanship exhibited on the foundation, walling and roofing is commendable. The materials used are of good quality. The doors fixed are of medium quality and steel windows have been fitted. The project is being implemented within the budget and time frame.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/089/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Kasanga D.E.B secondary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of 2 classrooms		
<b>Location</b>	Thitani		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	05.08.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	860,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	860,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> C
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	73 %		<b>Project Status</b> Ongoing and not in use
<b>Comment:</b> The stones used are of good quality; joints mortar of the right quality and thickness and metal doors and windows of good quality fitted. The foundation and walling have been well set. The roof has been well fitted.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/092/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Nzauni secondary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of a dining hall		
<b>Location</b>	Nzauni		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	03.08.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	1,400,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	1,175,000		
<b>Balance in Bank Account</b>	225,000		<b>Project Category</b> C
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	73%		<b>Project Status</b> Ongoing and not in use
<b>Comments:</b> The foundation concrete is of good quality, good quality mortar used in joining the stones and cement-sand ratio of good quality. The foundation and wall have been well set and reinforced. The lintel is of good quality concrete class; 1:2:4 while the floor slab is of the right ratio and well casted with a good overlap from walling screed. The remaining work was roofing, fittings, plastering and painting. The building is firm and the workmanship so far is superb and expenditure is commensurate with the work output. Refer to annex 9.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/009/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Mumbuni secondary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of a laboratory		
<b>Location</b>	Mumbuni		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	12.07.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	700,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	700,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> C
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	73%		<b>Project Status</b> Ongoing and in use
<b>Comments:</b> The stones used are of good quality and were well dressed and jointed. The joints are of the right width. Steel windows and doors were fitted. The verandah is well supported with metal pillars. The workmanship so far is commendable and represents value for money.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/091/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Ndaluni primary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Renovation of 4 classrooms		
<b>Location</b>	Kyome		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	04.08.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	550,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	550,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> C
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	73%		<b>Project Status</b> Ongoing and in use
<b>Comments:</b> The building walls are well painted with good quality paint. The plastering was smoothly done with right mix screed. The floor well skirled with good concrete screed and windows fitted with glasses. The floor slab was well plastered and painted and skirting done well around the building. Roof iron sheets were replaced and repainted. Facia board of 8" x 1" size fitted, the verandah well supported with steel metal pillars. The work done is commensurate with the amount spent and represents value for taxpayers money.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/093/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Kamutisya primary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Renovation of 4 classrooms		
<b>Location</b>	Migwani		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	03.08.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	400,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	400,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> C
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	63 %		<b>Project Status</b> Ongoing and in use
<b>Comments:</b> The plaster screed used on the walls is of good quality. The metallic doors, windows and roofing sheets fitted are of good quality. The walls and gables have been well plastered to good finish. The facia board has been well fitted and of right size and verandah screed has been well done. The implementation process is commendable.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/094/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Ngutani secondary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of dinning hall		
<b>Location</b>	Ngutani		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	05.08.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	1,750,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	1,750,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> C
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	70%		<b>Project Status</b> Ongoing and not in use
<b>Comments:</b> The stones and roofing materials used are of good quality. The walling and roofing have been well done and overall workmanship is good. The work done represents value for money.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/095/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Kakululo dispensary		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of a maternity ward		
<b>Location</b>	Ngutani		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	05.08.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	1,200,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	1,200,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> C
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	68%		<b>Project Status</b> Ongoing and not in use
<b>Comments:</b> The materials used in walling and roofing are of good quality. The inside walls are well plastered with good finish. The roof is well fitted, walling is well done and jointed with good mortar of right screed ratio. The floor slab done with concrete slab of right class; 1:2:4 pre-cast concrete. The foundation is well set above the ground level. The overall workmanship is commendable.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/100/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Musonoke primary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of 3 classrooms		
<b>Location</b>	Kiomo		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	29.08.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	600,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	600,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> C
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	73 %		<b>Project Status</b> Ongoing and in use
<b>Comments:</b> The kilned bricks used are of good quality and the plaster screed is of good quality sand-cement mix. The foundation and walling are well set. The roofing is well set and fitted. The overall workmanship in the buildings constructing is commendable. Wooden doors and windows and fascia board have been well fitted in the two complete classrooms. The third classroom was ongoing at the time of assessment.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/097/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Kavalyani primary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Renovation of classrooms & staff room		
<b>Location</b>	Migwani		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	03.08.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	400,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	400,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> C
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	58%		<b>Project Status</b> Ongoing and in use
<b>Comments:</b> The plaster screed used on outside and inside walls is of good quality, good quality workmanship is exhibited in walling, plastering, lintel fitting and roofing was done using good iron sheets. So far there is value for taxpayers money.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/025/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Precious blood secondary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of 4 classrooms		
<b>Location</b>	Mwingi		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	19.07.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	1,520,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	1,520,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> C
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	85%		<b>Project Status</b> Ongoing and in use
<b>Comments:</b> The materials used in the construction of the walls, foundation and roofing are of good quality. The workmanship exhibited in the construction of the foundation, walling and roofing is commendable. The project is being well implemented and represents value for money.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/026/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Nguluma primary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of 2 classrooms		
<b>Location</b>	Mumbuni		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	11.07.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	600,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	600,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> C
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	88%		<b>Project Status</b> Ongoing and in use
<b>Comments:</b> The project construction materials are of good quality. The facia board is of good quality, plaster is of good sand-cement ratio and the kilned bricks are of good quality. The key-jointing, plastering and fixing facia board were well done. The project, although ongoing at the time of assessment was well implemented.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/034/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Musovo dispensary		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of dispensary and purchase of furniture		
<b>Location</b>	Ukasi		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	08.07.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	950,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	950,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> C
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	78%		<b>Project Status</b> Ongoing and not in use
<b>Comments:</b> Materials used are of good quality and the workmanship is good. The stones are of good quality and well dressed. The mortar sand-cement mix ratio is of good quality. The timber used is of good quality. The fixing facia board, roofing, plaster and key-jointing were well done.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/035/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Ukasi Saranjevo secondary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of dormitory		
<b>Location</b>	Ukasi		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	08.07.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	800,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	800,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> C
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	83%		<b>Project Status</b> Ongoing and not in use
<b>Comments:</b> The stones used were well dressed and are of good quality. The mortar is well fitted and is of good quality. The lintel mass concrete is of good mix, the foundation is well set, walling done to above lintel and joints of good quality and good mortar thickness.			

<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/036/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Kavisu dispensary		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of dispensary		
<b>Location</b>	Ukasi		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	12.07.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	880,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	880,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> C
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	83%		<b>Project Status</b> Ongoing and not in use
<b>Comments:</b> The materials used are of good quality and the workmanship is commendable. The stones are well dressed and are of good quality, mortar of good mix ratio, foundation well set, walling complete and well done lintel and joints of right width. Workmanship is good quality.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/104/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Wikithuki secondary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of 2 classrooms		
<b>Location</b>	Kyethani		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	05.08.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	850,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	850,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> C
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	53 %		<b>Project Status</b> Ongoing and not in use
<b>Comments</b> The stones used are of good quality and well dressed, good quality facia board (8" x 1") has been fixed and supporting timber is of good quality. The stones were jointed with good mortar, floor slab was well done and verandah filled with hard core. The foundation has been well set and raised above the ground level. So far there is value for taxpayers money.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/050/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Mulinde secondary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of 2 classrooms		
<b>Location</b>	Ukasi		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	12.07.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	800,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	800,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> C
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	73%		<b>Project Status</b> Ongoing and not in use
<b>Comments:</b> The foundation, walls, lintel and roofing were well constructed. The materials; stones, timber and sand used are of good quality. The workmanship on the walling, foundation setting, lintel and roofing is of good quality. There is value for money spent so far.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/051/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Kateiko special unit		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of 2 classrooms		
<b>Location</b>	Mui		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	14.07.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	450,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	450,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> C
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	75%		<b>Project Status</b> Complete and in use
<b>Comments:</b> The project has a strong foundation, was well roofed and walling were well set. The painting was well done with good quality paint. The overall project implementation was well done and represents value for money.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/113/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Kairungu secondary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of 4 classrooms		
<b>Location</b>	Kiomo		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	26.08.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	1,100,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	1,100,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> C
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	80%		<b>Project Status</b> Ongoing and in use
<b>Comments:</b> The materials used are of good quality and the workmanship is commendable. Doors and windows are made of good quality steel and the structure is of good quality. The building - walling, roofing, plastering and finishes were well done. There is value for money to the community.			





<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/126/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Kakunike girl's secondary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of 6 classrooms, 8-door latrines & purchase of water tank		
<b>Location</b>	Mbuvu		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	30.08.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	2,010,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	2,010,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> C
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	65 %		<b>Project Status</b> Ongoing and in use
<b>Comments:</b> The materials used are of good quality; the stones have been well dressed, facia board made from good quality timber, iron sheets are of good quality and the fittings are of good quality. The floor screed is of good quality and well done. Skirting was well done all round the building . The plastic tank purchased is of good quality and was properly installed.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/111/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Kavindu secondary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of 4 classrooms		
<b>Location</b>	Wingemi		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	29.08.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	500,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	500,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> C
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	75%		<b>Project Status</b> Ongoing and in use
<b>Comments:</b> The stones, mortar mix, facia board and floor screed are of good quality. The foundation and walling are well set and constructed. The lintel is of the right concrete class. The project up to this stage is well implemented. The allocation has been appropriately used so far and represents value for money.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/112/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Kakongo secondary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of 2 classrooms		
<b>Location</b>	Kiomo		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	26.08.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	950,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	950,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> C
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	80%		<b>Project Status</b> Ongoing and in use
<b>Comments:</b> The Juja stones used are of good quality, good quality screed used for joining stones, good quality facia board timber used and steel used for windows and doors is also of good quality. The foundation is well set above the ground level. The roof is fitted with facia board.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/044/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	A.I.C Munyuni secondary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of 2 classrooms		
<b>Location</b>	Mui		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	14.07.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	775,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	775,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> C
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	85%		<b>Project Status</b> Ongoing and in use
<b>Comments:</b> The materials used in the construction of classrooms are of good quality and the workmanship is commendable. The expenditure was well managed to achieve good results.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/031/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Kavuvwani dispensary		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of maternity ward		
<b>Location</b>	Mwingi		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	19.07.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	1,250,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	1,250,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> C
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	68%		<b>Project Status</b> Ongoing and not in use
<b>Comments:</b> The sub-structure was well constructed with good quality stones. The foundation and walling were well set and constructed. The roofing has been well done with good quality sheets. The doors and windows have been half way fitted. Amount spent is worthy the work done so far hence there is value of taxpayers money.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/037/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Ngungi secondary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of 2 classrooms		
<b>Location</b>	Mui		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	14.07.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	825,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	825,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> C
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	68%		<b>Project Status</b> Ongoing and not in use
<b>Comments:</b> The iron sheets, stones and facia board used are of good quality. The workmanship is commendable. The roofing is well fitted and verandah well supported. The foundation and floor slab have been well done. Overall implementation of the project is good and funds have been put into good use.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/043/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Nyaani secondary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of 4 classrooms		
<b>Location</b>	Nuu		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	13.07.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	1,300,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	1,300,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> C
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	75%		<b>Project Status</b> Ongoing and in use
<b>Comments:</b> The materials used are of good quality. The stones have been well dressed, the timber used for facia board is of good quality and the sand-cement ratio is of good quality. The foundation, walling and roofing are well set. The workmanship is commendable.			


<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/042/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Mwangeni secondary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of 2 classrooms		
<b>Location</b>	Mutyangome		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	13.07.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	1,250,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	1,250,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> C
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	73%		<b>Project Status</b> Ongoing and in use
<b>Comments:</b> The stones used are of good quality, the quality of concrete mix for floor slab is of good quality. The iron sheets are of good quality. The foundation, floor slab and walling are well done. One classroom has been roofed with good quality iron sheets although fittings were yet to done. The workmanship is commendable.			

<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/029/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Mwambiu secondary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of 4 classrooms		
<b>Location</b>	Nuu		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	13.07.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	1,270,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	1,270,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> C
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	90%		<b>Project Status</b> Ongoing and in use
<b>Comments:</b> The community proposed the project and participated in its implementation. The materials used: quarry stones, iron sheets and timber are of good quality. The workmanship employed in the construction of the sub-structure and super-structure is of good quality. There is value for money so far.			

<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/030/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Precious blood secondary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of laboratory		
<b>Location</b>	Mwingi		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	19.07.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	330,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	330,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> C
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	65%		<b>Project Status</b> Ongoing and not in use
<b>Comments:</b> The materials used are of good quality; stones and reinforcement bars. The stones have been well dressed on site and sub structure well constructed. The workmanship exhibited is commendable.			

<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/039/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Katalwa secondary school		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of administration block		
<b>Location</b>	Mumbuni		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	11.07.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	700,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	700,000		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	0		<b>Project Category</b> C
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	70 %		<b>Project Status</b> Ongoing and not in use
<b>Comments:</b> The kilned bricks used are of good quality, fascia board is of good quality timber and the lintel is of good concrete class. Walling and roofing are well done and the project has been well implemented so far.			

<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/098/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Kasina institute		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of technical institute		
<b>Location</b>	Migwani		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	04.08.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	3,500,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	0		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	3,500,000		<b>Project Category</b>   G
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	N/A		<b>Project Status</b>   Delayed implementation
<b>Comments:</b> When NTA visited the project site, no construction activity was ongoing as the tendering process was yet to be finalised. Refer to annex 7.			

<b>Project Number</b>	CDF/MGS/099/2009-10		
<b>Constituency</b>	Mwingi south		
<b>Project Name</b>	Misuu sub-surface dam		
<b>Project Activity</b>	Construction of sub-surface dam		
<b>Location</b>	Kyome		
<b>Date of Assessment</b>	04.08.2011		
<b>Total Funds Awarded to Date</b>	900,000		
<b>Total Funds Spent to Date</b>	0		
<b>Total Unaccounted Funds</b>	900,000		<b>Project Category</b>   G
<b>Technical Assessment Score</b>	N/A		<b>Project Status</b>   Delayed implementation
<b>Comments:</b> The proposed project site was deemed unfavourable and thus the community applied to change the project to construction of an earth dam. The CDF allocation was not adequate to construct an earth dam and thus the project has been halted till the next financial year when more funds will be allocated to fully complete the construction of the earth dam. Refer to annex 6.			

## Annex 2

### CDF/LATF Project Rapid Assessment Form

#### 1. General Project Information

1.1 Constituency Name	1.2 Location/Ward	
1.3 Project Name	1.4 Project Number	
1.5 Project Activity	1.6 Rural/Urban	
1.7 MPs Name (2002 - 07)	1.8 MPs Name (2007 - 2012)	
1.9 Date of Project Visit	1.10 Date project began	
1.11 Date project ended (If complete)	1.12 Approximate distance of project from main road	----(in Kms)

#### 2. Project Status

	Tick one ( )	Remarks / Comments
Complete and in use		
Complete and not in use		
Incomplete and in use		
Incomplete and not in use		
Ongoing and in use		
Ongoing and not in use		
Does not exist		

#### 3. Financial Information

FY \ AMOUNT	03/04	04/05	05/06	06/07	07/08	08/09	09/10	10/11	Total
3.1. Amount awarded as per CDFC/Local Authority Records.									
3.2. Actual amount received (Project site)									
3.3. Actual expenditure as at the assessment date									

3.4 Total Other Funds Spent to Date (2009/10) KShs. (LATF, NGOs, CBOs, FBOs, Private Sector, etc.) Specify Actual Source	
--	--

3.5 Total Funds Spent to Date (Kshs.)	
---------------------------------------	--

#### 4. Project defects

Are any of the following defects present? *(If the project is of construction by nature)*

	YES	NO
4.1 Cracking around doors or windows?		
4.2 Cracking through foundation (If foundation is exposed)?		
4.3 Cracking on top of foundation/floor?		
4.4 Any Other		

5. Project Score (*Attach 2-3 Pages to accommodate more respondents in this section*)

Scoring Area		Explain your answer/ Comments to Justify the Score	Each Out of 10
5.1 Community participation in project identification. 5.1.1 How was the project identified?	1.YES 2.NO		
5.1.2 Were women involved in project identification?	1.YES 2.NO		
5.1.3 Were the disabled involved in project identification?	1.YES 2.NO		
5.1.4 Were the youth involved in project identification?	1.YES 2.NO		
5.2 Community participation in project implementation 5.2.1 How was the community involved in project implementation, specify...	1.YES 2.NO		
5.2.2 Was/Is the project implemented in time?	1.YES 2.NO		
5.2.3 Was the project implemented within the budget?	1.YES 2.NO		
5.3 Community project ownership 5.3.1 Does the community feel they own the project? 5.3.2 Is the project well used?	1.YES 2.NO		
5.4 Project satisfaction 5.4.1 Does the project serve the intended purpose?	1.YES 2.NO		
5.5 Visual assessment of overall quality of construction and finish (by users not RA) 5.5.1 (What is your assessment of the workmanship?)	1.Poor 2.Fair 3.Good		
5.5.2 Are the materials used of good quality?	1.YES 2.NO		
5.5.3. What is your assessment of the fittings?	1.Poor 2.Fair 3.Good		
5.6 Procurement Process 5.6.1 Do you know how the contractor was identified?	1.YES 2.NO		
5.6.2 Do you know how the materials are/were supplied /acquired?	1.YES 2.NO		
5.5 Total Project Rapid Score (out of 130 marks)			

Note: A mark of 10 is excellent, while a mark of 1 is very bad.

6. Citizens Priorities

6.1 Is this project a priority for this community?	YES	NO
6.2 If NO what would you list as the priorities (List three in order of priority)	1. 2. 3.	

7.0 Respondent Details

{*Make sure you include details of Key respondents interviewed in the field in the table below*}

Name and Title of Respondent	Tel No:	Gender	Age

## 8.0 General Comments

Kindly provide a description of the project in terms of defects/observations that may be useful in assessing the project if any.

---

---

---

---

## 9.0 Draw/Attach the floor plan with measurements to this cover sheet (*One per project*)

I certify that I inspected the project described above and that the information contained here is accurate to the best of my knowledge.

Research Assistants Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Date of visit: \_\_\_\_\_ Time of Visit \_\_\_\_\_

RAs Telephone Number; \_\_\_\_\_ Signed: \_\_\_\_\_

### Annex 3

## LATF Project Users Questionnaire

Quest. No.:
-------------

Name of RO
------------

#### Instructions for ROs

- Explain who you are, and the purpose of your visit. Clearly state that the interview is anonymous.
- Ask the person if they know about the concerned project before starting the interview, if they have no knowledge then do not interview them.
- If the person agrees to be interviewed, ask how long they have been resident in the community. If it is less than six months do not undertake the interview. Do not interview people directly or officially involved in managing the project as they will have a bias.
- ROs must interview a mix of women and men at all project locations.
- The minimum requirement is 4 user interviews/project.
- In questions with written answers be very clear and concise.

1. Name of District		2. Constituency Name	
3. Name of Project		4. Location Name	
5. Gender of person interviewed (circle one answer number)		1. Female	2. Male
6. Age of person interviewed		_____ years	
7. Occupation (circle one answer number)	1. Farmer 2. Business Owner 3. Labourer /Housekeeper	4. Private Sector Employee 5. Government employee 6. Other (explain) _____	
8. RO -- Why have you selected this person? (list relationship to project)	1. Resident lives near project 2. Resident from community uses the project 3. Other (explain) _____		
9. Do you know who funded the project?		1. Yes	2. No
10. If yes, can you say who?	1. LATF 2. LATF 3. MP	4. Community 5. Donors/NGOs 6. Government	7. Councillor 8. Private company 9. Do not know 10. Other (explain) _____
11. Is the project complete and in use, or incomplete and in use?	1. Project is completed and in use 2. Project is completed and not in use 3. Project is incomplete and in use 4. Project is incomplete and not in use 5. Project is "missing", does not exist		
12. Please explain your answer? (If the person choose option 2, 3, 4, or 5)			
13. Did you or people you know in the community participate in project selection?	1. Yes, community participated 2. No, community did not participate 3. Do not know		
14. If yes, please explain how you know this?	1. I attended the meeting 2. I know people who attended and they told me 3. Other (explain) _____		
15. If yes, do you know approximately how many people attended the meeting to select the project?	1. _____ people (write the number) 2. Do not know		
16. If yes to Q10, (community participated in project selection), Was this project selected as a priority for this community?	1. Yes 2. No 3. Do not know		



17. If no to Q10, do you know who selected the project?	1. MP 2. Councillor	3. Chief/Govt. official 4. Do not know	5. Other _____
18. Did you or people you know in the community participate in project implementation?	1. Yes, community participated 2. No, community did not participate 3. Do not know		
19. If yes, how?	1. They contributed labour/security/supervision/goods/materials/land etc. 2. They gave money 3. Other (explain) _____		
20. Was there a Project Management Committee formed for this project?	1. Yes	2. No	3. Do not know
21. If yes, who selected this Committee?	1. MP selected 2. Community selected 3. MP and community	4. Councillor selected 5. Govt. selected	6. Do not know 7. Other (explain) _____
22. What is your satisfaction in terms of quality of the facility and value for money spent on this project?	1. Very satisfied 2. Satisfied	3. Dissatisfied 4. Very dissatisfied	
23. If Dissatisfied or Very dissatisfied, explain why?			
24. Was this project transparently managed?	1. Yes 2. No	3. Do not know	
25. If no, explain why not?			
26. How would you rate the project in terms of impact on beneficiaries?	1. High impact – many people in community benefited 2. Medium impact – some people in community benefited 3. Low impact – none/few people in community benefited		
27. What are the three most important future projects for this community?	1. 2. 3.		
28. Can you suggest ways to improve implementation of LATF projects?	1. 2. 3.		
29. RO Verification -- What is the actual completion status of the project based on observation? (visit the project and then complete this question)	1. Project is completed and in use 2. Project is completed and not in use 3. Project is incomplete and in use 4. Project is incomplete and not in use 5. Project is “missing”, does not exist		

Name of interviewer	Signature of interviewer	Date and time of interview

## Annex 4

### Technical Project Assessment Form

<b>Project Score (Out of 100)</b>	%	<b>Project No.</b>	
-----------------------------------	---	--------------------	--

<b>Project Type (Source of funds)</b>	<b>Project Activity</b>
---------------------------------------	-------------------------

<b>Project Name</b>		<b>Constituency Name</b>	
---------------------	--	--------------------------	--

<b>County Name</b>		<b>Location / Ward Name</b>	
--------------------	--	-----------------------------	--

Area	Out of 10	Justify the score you gave with explanation below (write clearly and neatly)
(1) Quality of materials used		
(2) Quality of workmanship.		
(3) Was/is the project implemented within the budget and time?		
(4) What is the estimated cost of the project against what was spent based on the assessment? - <b>does it represent value for money?</b>		
<b>Total</b>	<b>Marks out of 40</b>	1. Explain the project score ( <i>Justify the project score</i> ) 2. What is your overall recommendation on the project implementation
	<b>Percentage</b>	

**Note: A mark of 10 is excellent, while a mark of 1 is very poor**

<b>Name of technical reviewer:</b>	<b>Date:</b>
<b>Signature of technical reviewer:</b>	<b>Telephone No.</b>
	<b>Time:</b>

## Annex 5

November 24, 2011.

Hon. David Musila  
MP Mwingi South Constituency  
National Assembly  
Nairobi.



Dear Hon. Musila,

**RE: MWINGI SOUTH CDF CONSTITUENCY CITIZEN REPORT CARD**

The National Taxpayers Association (NTA) is a national, independent and non-partisan organization committed to working in partnership with the government and other stakeholders to promote transparency, effectiveness and accountability in the use of government resources. The NTA is currently compiling and disseminating Citizens' Report Cards on the provision of services and the management of devolved funds in constituencies and local authorities across Kenya. On 28<sup>th</sup> March 2011, the NTA published 28 Citizen Report Cards on the management of CDF in 28 constituencies in all eight provinces.

In Phase 4 of the NTA's national CDF monitoring programme an additional 35 constituencies were selected and audited, one of which is the constituency you represent. In honor of your esteemed office as the representative of Mwingi South constituency, the NTA herein encloses a draft copy of the Mwingi South Constituency CDF Citizens' Report Card for your comments and feedback prior to printing and dissemination through a formal launch.

Please call Mr. Martin Napisa on 0734500940 at the NTA Secretariat to arrange a meeting to discuss the draft CRC or send written comments to the NTA Secretariat. Kindly note that lack of feedback by Thursday 8<sup>th</sup> December, 2011 on the draft CRC will be considered as a confirmation of the report and we shall proceed with the printing and dissemination through a formal launch.

We look forward to hearing from you soon.

Yours Faithfully,



Davis Adieno,  
National Coordinator  
National Taxpayers Association



C.C. Mr. Yusuf Mbuno  
Ag. Chief Executive Officer  
CDF Coordination Board  
Harambee Co-operative Plaza  
10<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Nairobi.

The NTA is a national, volunteer-based, independent and non-partisan organization established to improve the delivery of services and the management of devolved funds for the benefit of all Kenyans.

NTA is a citizen initiative currently steered by the following National Governing Council members: Kenya Private Sector Alliance (KEPSA) - Chair, National Informal Sector Coalition (NISCC) - Vice Chair, Institute of Certified Public Accountants of Kenya (ICPAK) - Treasurer, Centre for Governance and Development (CGD) - Secretary, Kenya Female Advisory Organization (KEFADO) - Deputy Secretary, Supreme Council of Kenya Muslims (SUPKEM) - Member, Kenya Private Sector Network (KPSA Network) - Member, African Woman and Child Future Service (AWCFS) - Member, United Business Association (UBA) - Member, Catholic Justice & Peace Commission (CJPC), Kenya Alliance of Resident Associations (KARA) and Transparency International Kenya (TI-K) - Member.  
National Taxpayers Association, P.O. Box 4027 - 00506, Nairobi, Kenya. Tel: 020-3661813/4 Website: www.nta.or.ke



# OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT MINISTRY OF STATE FOR DEFENCE

Telegrams: "DEFENCE", Nairobi  
Telephone: Nairobi 2721100  
When replying please quote

Defence Headquarters  
ULINZI HOUSE  
P.O. Box 40668 - 00100  
NAIROBI, KENYA  
19<sup>th</sup> December, 2011

Ref: AM 011/VOLI (25)

Mr. Davis Odieno,  
National Coordinator,  
Nation Taxpayers Association,  
P. O. Box 4037 - 00505  
**NAIROBI.**



Dear Mr. Adieno

## CITIZEN REPORT CARD

I have the honour to acknowledge receipt of the 'CITIZEN REPORT CARD' for my Mwingi South Constituency. I commend NTA for the initiative of auditing the management of CDF throughout the country. Your report will go a long way in supplementing our efforts to ensure that public gets value for money and that the projects we undertake are of benefit to the community.

Following your draft report, we held a meeting with your Anne Katuki on 13.12.2011 in order to receive some clarifications, and give our comments on the draft report. I have the following comments to make:

### CATEGORY 'A' PROJECTS

Generally, I was happy with your comments made on projects under this category and felt happy that we were able to complete these projects satisfactorily. Your positive comments will go a long way in motivating us to do even better in the future.

### CATEGORY 'B' PROJECTS

During our meeting with your officer, we went into details of each of the 19 projects listed under this category. We gave her in writing our comments on each project. My concern, however, is your blanket labelling of the 19 projects as 'badly built, poor quality construction, money wasted, poor value for money'. This labeling is not only misleading but gives the

impression that the Ksh. 13,111,000.00 spent on the projects was either stolen or completely wasted.

While acknowledging that some PMC's have not done projects to our established high standards, the NTA, in my view, failed to appreciate firstly, that in some parts of my constituency the community lacks skills to undertake some projects, which skills we have continued to improve through site visits, workshops and tours by PMC members from these areas to the more developed areas. A close observation of the areas where most of the 19 projects are located will testify to this fact.

Secondly, the available local materials, for example bricks largely contributed to some degree in the quality of works. In any case, most of these are renovations whose original works were not ours.

We strongly feel that for the NTA to conclude that all the amounts spent on the 19 projects were wasted is completely misleading. If you found that a project was not worth the amount spent in its construction, you should have put a value that you considered should have been spent. We therefore, refuse to accept your contention that the value of such a project was ZERO.

There are some specific projects that NTA was clearly wrong in their assessment, i.e. Thokoa Administration block and classrooms, Musuani secondary school – dinning hall/kitchen, Syomung'ele Primary School- renovations and Mulinde dispensary purchase of water tank, among others. We discussed these projects in detail with your officer. In view of the above, I trust that NTA will reconsider their classification of most of the projects under this category and give their values to all the 19 projects.

#### **CATEGORY 'C' PROJECTS**

I confirm that all uncompleted projects will be completed during the current financial year. This being the last financial year of the current parliament. We will not be undertaking new projects and have allocated adequate funds to complete the uncompleted ones. We are grateful for your positive comments on the quality of work under this category.

#### **CATEGORY 'G' PROJECTS**


We explained the circumstances which led to the delays in implementing the 2 projects listed. In the case of the KASINA institute construction work has already started. The delay was due to procurement procedures which have since been completed and tender awarded.

In the case of Misuu sub-surface dam, we have already cancelled the project. The Ksh.900,000 allocated to this project which is still held at the CDF account in Mwingi will be re-allocated to another project. I am pleased to inform you that I have sourced Ksh.5 Million from the Ministry of water and irrigation to undertake this project.

## CONCLUSION

Please accept my sincere thanks for your comments on our projects. I assure you that the comments made have been fully discussed with staff and corrective measures have been taken. For example, due to the increased number of projects, an additional officer will be recruited to enhance supervision of these projects. You are welcome in the future to give us any suggestions which can improve our service delivery to the community under the CDF initiative.

Yours sincerely,



**Hon. D Musila, MGH, MP**  
Mwingi South Constituency  
**ASSISTANT MINISTER**

### Copy to:

Mr. Yusuf Mbuno,  
Ag. C.E.O.,  
C.D.F. Coordination Board,  
**NAIROBI.**

Mr. S. Maina  
Fund Manager,  
**Mwingi South CDF**



January 17, 2012.

Hon. David Musila  
MP Mwingi South Constituency  
National Assembly  
Nairobi.

Dear Hon. Musila,

**RE: MWINGI SOUTH CDF CITIZEN REPORT CARD - APPRECIATION**

With reference to a meeting held on 13<sup>th</sup> December, 2011 at your Nairobi office, attended by yourself and the NTA team, we express our gratitude for the time, patience and remarkable openness you exhibited during the meeting as we went through the draft Citizen's Report Card (CRC) for Mwingi South constituency.

The comments will indeed add value to the final report. The NTA is planning to print, launch and disseminate copies of the report both at the national and the constituency levels.

NTA is committed to objective and evidence-based reporting on CDF performance. Once again we reiterate our appreciation and look forward to solidifying this partnership.

Yours Faithfully,

Davis Adieno  
National Coordinator,  
National Taxpayers Association (NTA).

C.C. Yusuf Mbano.  
Ag. Chief Executive Officer  
CDF Coordination Board  
Harambee Co-operative Plaza  
10<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Nairobi

The NTA is a national, volunteer-based, independent and non-partisan organization established to improve the delivery of services and the management of devolved funds for the benefit of all Kenyans.

NTA is a citizen initiative currently steered by the following National Governing Council members: Kenya Private Sector Alliance (KEPSA) - Chair, National Informal Sector Coalition (NISCO) - Vice Chair, Institute of Certified Public Accountants of Kenya (ICPAK) - Treasurer, Centre for Governance and Development (CGD) - Secretary, Kenya Female Advisory Organization (KEFEADO) - Deputy Secretary, Supreme Council of Kenya Muslims (SUPKEM) - Member, Kenya Private Sector Network (KPSA Network) - Member, African Woman and Child Feature Service (AWCFS) - Member, United Business Association (UBA) - Member, Catholic Justice & Peace Commission (CJPC), Kenya Alliance of Resident Associations (KARA) and Transparency International Kenya (TI-K) - Member.

National Taxpayers Association, P.O. Box 4037 - 00506, Nairobi, Kenya. Tel: 020-3861813/4 Website: www.nta.or.ke

## Annex 6

REPUBLIC OF KENYA



### MWINGI SOUTH CONSTITUENCY DEVELOPMENT FUND

---

P.O.BOX 395-90400 MWINGI, EMAIL: mwingisouthcdf@gmail.com

---

14<sup>TH</sup> DECEMBER 2011

NATIONAL TAXPAYERS ASSOCIATION  
PO BOX 2046 MACHAKOS  
TOWN PLAZA 3<sup>RD</sup> FLOOR

ATTN

ANNAH KATUKI

**REF: CLARRIFICATION ON MISUU EARTH DAM**

The project was proposed and initiated by the community and CDF allocated Ksh 900,000.00 for a start but the money was not released to the PMC on the agreement that District Water Engineer undertake the surveys to determine the total cost of the dam.

When the surveys were completed the cost went beyond what CDF can fund about six million (Ksh 6,000,000.00) therefore the office decided not to release the funds to the PMC and instead seek another source of funding of which Ministry of Water intervened by fully funding the Misuu Earth dam. Subsequently the project is no longer a CDF project.

With regards

CONSTITUENCIES DEVELOPMENT FUND  
MWINGI SOUTH CONSTITUENCY  
P. O. Box 395 - 90400, MWINGI  
Date: .....

Simon Kiringu Maina  
**Fund Account Manager**



STATEMENT OF ACCOUNT



SENIOR CHIEF KASINA INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY - C.O.P. A/C  
P. O. BOX 395-90400  
WINDI

A/C No. 0590297131825 To INST. UNIV. SCH. COLL. AC-KES  
From 01-04-2011 14-12-2011

Table with columns: Date, Value, Particulars, Money Out, Money In, Balance. Contains transaction details such as 'CASH WITHDRAWAL FROM WINDI BRANCH', 'PAID TO WINFRED M NDIKUMU', and 'PAID TO TITUS MALOMBE'. Includes a blue stamp: 'CONSISTENTLY PAID BY THE BANK'.

**Annex 8**

**STATEMENT OF ACCOUNT**



DISPENSARY  
P.O. BOX 315-90400  
MWINGI

A/C No.  
From 0590194293264 To UNIV & SCH ACCOUNT-KES

01-01-2011 14-12-2011

Date	Value	Particulars	Money Out	Money In	Balance
		B/V			966.85 Cr
		MONY CHQ NO 2287 DEP MWINGI SOUTH COF		175,000.00	175,966.85 Cr
		Current Account Charge	200.00		175,766.85 Cr
		CASH WITHDRAWAL FROM MWINGI BRANCH	174,000.00		1,766.85 Cr
		Cash Withdrawal Charge	52.00		1,714.85 Cr
		2566 CHQ NO 2566 DEP MWINGI SOUTH COF		175,000.00	176,714.85 Cr
		CASH WITHDRAWAL	175,000.00		1,714.85 Cr
		Cash Withdrawal Charge Detch	52.00		1,662.85 Cr
			349,304.00	350,000.00	1,662.85 Cr
			349,304.00	350,000.00	1,662.85 Cr
					0.00

CONSTITUENCIES DEVELOPMENT FUND  
MWINGI SOUTH CONSTITUENCY  
P.O. Box 385-90400, MWINGI  
Date:.....

**Annex 9**

Annex 9

**STATEMENT OF ACCOUNT**



GEMINI SECONDARY SCHOOL  
 P.O. BOX 59-90402  
 NITDAHI

A/C No.

From

0590293263417 To INST, UNIV, SCH, COLL. AN-KES

01-10-2011

14-12-2011

Date	Value	Particulars	Money Out	Money In	Balance
		BY			270,341.05 Cr
		30000 CHQ NO 2686 DEP MUTUAL SOUTH C 30000 CHQ		325,000.00	595,341.05 Cr
		PAID TO JENNIFER SAVALLI Cash Withdrawal from	35,000.00		560,341.05 Cr
		000000 Cash Withdrawal Charge	50.00		560,291.05 Cr
		000101300592110293263417 CHQ PRESENTED	35,000.00		525,291.05 Cr
		Toward Clearing Charge	25.00		525,266.05 Cr
		000101300592110293263417 CHQ PRESENTED	54,000.00		471,266.05 Cr
		Toward Clearing Charge	25.00		471,241.05 Cr
		TO JOHN MULA NGUNI	60,920.00		410,321.05 Cr
		DEPT MUAHNI SEC NONG MUAHNI SEC		110,000.00	520,321.05 Cr
28-10		eastern community development Chq Deposit No		8,000.00	528,321.05 Cr
26-10		SAVILLI WEST CONSTITUTIONAL DEVEL Chq Deposit N		3,000.00	531,321.05 Cr
		000101300592110293263417 CHQ PRESENTED	5,260.00		526,061.05 Cr
		Toward Clearing Charge	25.00		526,036.05 Cr
		000101300592110293263417 CHQ PRESENTED	45,000.00		481,036.05 Cr
		Toward Clearing Charge	25.00		480,911.05 Cr
		000101300592110293263417 TWARD CTS CHQ PRESENTED	90,450.00		390,461.05 Cr
		Toward Clearing Charge	25.00		390,436.05 Cr
		repaid chq no000133 PAID		45,000.00	435,436.05 Cr
		TO KILLOO HARDWARE STORES	11,400.00		424,036.05 Cr
		TO MARI KATONGU	45,000.00		379,036.05 Cr
		CHQ BANK CHARGES-INTDGE	1,450.00		377,586.05 Cr
		PAID TO JENNIFER K. SAVALLI Cash Withdrawal f	31,000.00		346,586.05 Cr
		000000 Cash Withdrawal Charge	50.00		346,536.05 Cr
		TO MARI KATONGU	30,000.00		316,536.05 Cr
			445,205.00	491,000.00	316,331.05 Cr
			445,205.00	491,000.00	316,126.05 Cr
					0.00

## Annex 10

### List of MP's / CDFC's that met or sent comments on the draft CDF CRC

	Name of MP	Constituency	Date of sending 1st Draft	Date of meeting with CDFC	Date of meeting with MP
1.	Hon. Soita Shitanda	Malava	18th October 2011	21st November 2011 25th November 2011	2nd November 2011
2.	Hon. Benson Itwiku	Masinga	9th November 2011	9th September 2011	-
3.	Hon. Jamleck Kamau	Kigumo	15th November 2011	20th November 2011	24th November 2011
4.	Hon. James Maina Kamau	Kandara	15th November 2011	2nd December 2011	-
5.	Hon. Justus Kizito	Shinyalu	15th November 2011	19th January 2012	-
6.	Hon. David Musila	Mwingi South	24th November 2011	13th December 2011	13th December 2011
7.	Hon. Thomas Mwadeghu	Wundanyi	30th November 2011	8th December 2011	-
8.	Hon. Wycliffe Oparanya	Butere	30th November 2011	22nd December 2011	-
9.	Hon. Musalia Mudavadi	Sabatia	30th November 2011	14th February 2012	-
10.	Hon. Noah. Wekesa	Kwanza	30th November 2011	1st December 2011	-
11.	Hon. James Kwanya Rege	Karachuonyo	25th January 2012	18th January 2012	-
12.	Hon. Kasipul-Kabondo	Kasipul Kabondo	16th January 2012	19th January 2012	-
13.	Hon. Martin Ogindo	Rangwe	16th January 2012	20th January 2012	14th February 2012 20th February 2012
14.	Hon. Joshua Orwa Ojode	Ndhiwa	16th January 2012	23rd January 2012	23rd January 2012
15.	Hon. John Mbadi Ngo'ng'o	Gwasi	16th January 2012	24th January 2012	24th January 2012
16.	Hon. Otieno Kajwang'	Mbita	16th January 2012	25th January 2012	-
17.	Hon. Barnabas Mwangi	Kiharu	17th January 2012	-	-
18.	Hon. Kalonzo Musyoka	Mwingi North	26th January 2012	28th November 2011	-
19.	Hon. Danson Mwazo	Voi	26th January 2012	-	-
20.	Hon. Charity Ngilu	Kitui Central	24th January 2012	2nd November 2011	-
21.	Hon. Lucas Chepkitony	Keiyo North	15th February 2012	23rd November 2011	-
22.	Hon. Eugene Wamalwa	Saboti	15th February 2012	22nd November 2011	-
23.	Hon. Boaz Kaino	Marakwet West	15th February 2012	25th November 2011	-
24.	Hon. Peter Kenneth	Gatanga	28th February 2012	-	-
25.	Hon. Philip Kaloki	Kibwezi	28th February 2012	-	-
26.	Hon. Gideon Ndambuki	Kaiti	28th February 2012	-	13th March 2012
27.	Hon. Uhuru Kenyatta	Gatundu South	3rd March 2012	-	-
28.	Hon. John Mututho	Naivasha	5th March 2012	14th March 2012 16th March 2012	16th March 2012 (Telephone Conversation)
29.	Hon. Lee Kinyanjui	Nakuru Town	29th February 2012	2nd February 2012	-
30.	Hon. Katoo Metito	Kajiado South	29th February 2012	3rd February 2012	6th March 2012
31.	Hon. Joseph Nkaisserry	Kajiado Central	29th February 2012	5th January 2012	15th March 2012
32.	Hon. Naomi Shaban	Taveta	25th February 2012	-	19th March 2012
33.	Hon. Linah Jebii Kilimo	Marakwet East	15th February 2012	20th January 2012	-